

Applicant : Atsushi Miyawaki et al.
Serial No. : 09/920,922
Filed : August 2, 2001
Page : 6 of 6

Attorney's Docket No.: 11283-012001 / PH-1270US

REMARKS

Claim Rejections – 35 USC 112, Second Paragraph

Claims 1 to 12 have been rejected as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which Applicants regards as the invention.

Claims 1 to 12 have been deemed to be allowable over the prior art references cited. The only remaining rejections are the indefinite rejections of claims 1, 10, 11, and 12. The indefinite phrase, “a multiple copies” in claims 1, 11, and 12 has been amended to read “multiple copies.” Claim 10 has been amended to depend on claim 1. Thus, all rejections have been addressed and the application is placed in condition for allowance.

Summary

Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested. . Applicants believe that all claims are now allowable and that the application is placed in condition for allowance with the amendments correcting the indefinite languages.